

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,535	05/01/2001	01 Barry Bond		4017
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 10/30/2007 S.P.L.C.	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			STEVENS, THOMAS H	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/847,535	BOND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas H. Stevens	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 At	ugust 2007					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,34-42,45 and 46</u> is/are pending i	in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28, 34-42, and 45-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) <sub>.</sub> or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, .,	ed.				
		•				
Attachment/e\	•					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/28/2007.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/28/2007</u> . 6) [_] Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/847,535 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-28,34-42,45-46 were examined.

#### Section I: Final Rejection

#### Claim Objection

2. Computer based claims 26-28 and 38,39 are linked to method claims 13 and 34 respectively. To add, it's apparent that a computer-based device would have an operating system, thus the operating system claims would not be necessary. Applicants should simply stick with method and apparatus (i.e., computer-based) claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. MPEP 2173.05(P) II states:
  - A single claim which claims both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. *In Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d* 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), a claim directed to an automatic transmission work stand and the method steps of using it was held to be ambiguous and properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-28,34-42,45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim limitation of "implemented in

Application/Control Number: 09/847,535

Art Unit: 2121

part of by a computing device" is ambiguous as whether claims are a method or an apparatus.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 7. Claims 1-28,34-42,45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because these claims are considered to be software per se, as such they are abstract and thus non-statutory subject matter.
- 8. Claims 11,12,26-28,38,39 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they're directed to a media or medium of a native kernel configured to receive calls from native program modules. This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to **produce a result** that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may be interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. Furthermore, the statutory claim type is ambiguous since, for example, claim 1 appears to represent both a method and a apparatus by the limitation of "implemented in part of by a computing device"

Application/Control Number: 09/847,535

Art Unit: 2121

### Section III: Response to Arguments

#### 101

9. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue; however, issue remain outstanding as set forth above. To overcome the tangible result issue, applicants are suggested to amend the claims in such a manner that reflects the advantage or significance of the invention such as "...in order to maintain interoperability between native and non-native program modules...."

### 102(b)

10. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue. Rejection is withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,535

Art Unit: 2121

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-

3715.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact

examiner's supervisor Mr. David Vincent 571-272-3080. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.. Answers to

questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

David Vincent

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Tech Center 2100

Page 5